

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/020.872 02/09/98 **PLASA** G GR-95-P-1411 **EXAMINER** MMC1/0609 LERNER AND GREENBERG POST OFFICE BOX 2480 LINDSAY JR.W HOLLYWOOD FL 33022-2480 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2812 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

06/09/00

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/020,872

Examiner

Walter L. Lindsay Jr.

Group Art Unit 2812

Plasa

ТН	E PE	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) [expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) (ž	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date d	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The n which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of nining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appe perio	llant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any d for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
		nt's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>May 30, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, OT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The	proposed amendment(s):
		vill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
		vill not be entered because:
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	N	OTE: <u>The statment wherein the silicon dioxide is used to structure the polysilicon layer would require further</u> consideration and searching.
	□ <i>i</i>	applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
		ly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a strate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
		affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because:
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the niner in the final rejection.
X		ourposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed:
		ns objected to:
		ns rejected: <u>1-10</u>
	The	proposed drawing correction filed on
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pager No(s)
	Oth	John F. Niebling
		Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800